

Errata Sheet for Hydrology Report, Pine Horse Valley Hazard Tree Removal Project

On page 6 of the Hydrology Report, under section *Alternative 2-Proposed Action, Direct and Indirect Effects*, it states:

“Only trees that are completely dead (i.e. not one green needle) will be harvested, therefore there will be no canopy removal from the project itself.”

This text was from another report, of a similar project, and was accidentally copied along with other pertinent information. The sentence was an error and should read:

“The silvicultural treatment of this project emphasizes reduction in hazards along roadsides, with the importance of keeping roads open. Though the marking guidelines allow for removal of green hazard trees (structurally unsound), the large majority of hazard trees to be removed are dead or will likely die due to the fire. Any remaining live canopy removal would be very limited, particularly in riparian areas, which burned with less severity and retaining greater live canopies, thus leading to less hazard concerns.”

The analysis process for hydrology (particularly the Cumulative Watershed Effects and Best Management Practices) did not only consider removal of completely dead trees. Rather it followed the original proposal of “removing dead, dying and structurally unsound trees within 200 feet (1.5 x average tree height) from each side of the road.”

/s/Hilda Kwan
District Hydrologist
12/2/2019